

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/627,231	07/25/2003	John Erickson	1027.P006USC1	2442
35320	7590 11/27/2006		EXAMINER	
ADVANCED NEUROMODULATION SYSTEMS, INC.			KAHELIN, MICHAEL WILLIAM	
	6901 PRESTON ROAD PLANO, TX 75024			PAPER NUMBER
,			3762	
		DATE MAILED: 11/27/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/627,231	ERICKSON, JOHN				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Michael Kahelin	3762				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE	DI V IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 N	MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS				
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state that the mailing date of this communication.  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail reply are adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	B DATE OF THIS COMMUN R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO atute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12	2 June 2006.					
,	·					
, —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 35-39 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>35-39</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	d/or election requirement					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	a/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
11) I he oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action of form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:	eign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a		t rappiyed				
See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies no	it received.				
Attachment(s)	<b>√ □ 1</b> -4	Summon (DTO 412)				
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> </ol>	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) s(s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 20060117.	5)  Notice of 6) Other: _	Informal Patent Application				

Application/Control Number: 10/627,231

Art Unit: 3762

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 2. Claims 35-39 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Examiner was unable to find support in the originally filed application for the limitation of "caus[ing] a patient to experience substantially concurrent application of pulses".

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
  - (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Application/Control Number: 10/627,231 Page 3

Art Unit: 3762

4. Claims 35-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Acosta et al. (US 7,024,246, hereinafter "Acosta").

- 5. In regards to claim 35, Acosta discloses a neurostimulator having a blocking capacitor (Fig. 18, C1-C8), which generates a first stimulation pulse with a first electrode pattern (Fig. 26), generates a reverse pulse according to the first electrode pattern having a longer pulse width and lower amplitude (Fig. 26, "Program 1"), generates a second stimulation pulse using a second electrode pattern ("Program 3"), the electrode patterns are defined by parameters stored in memory (col. 20, line 60), and the patient experiences substantially concurrent application of pulses because the pulses of Figure 26 are shown to be applied "substantially concurrently".
- 6. In regards to claim 36, the total charge delivered by the first pulse is equal to the charge delivered by the reverse pulse (col. 15, line 29).
- 7. In regards to claim 37, a switching network generates the reverse pulse (col. 9, line 61, 1001, 1003, and 1401-1407).
- 8. In regards to claim 38, the switching networks reverse the electrical connections to output nodes (col. 14, line 30).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3762

10. Claim 39 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Acosta in view of Archer et al. (US 2002/0077670, hereinafter "Archer"). Acosta discloses the essential features of the claimed invention including applying stimulation without accumulating charge on the blocking capacitors (col. 15, line 1), but does not explicitly disclose that the stimulation frequency is greater than about 250 Hz. Archer teaches of providing nerve tissue with biphasic stimulation of 250 Hz (par. 0056) to provide a stimulation frequency that effectively stimulates nervous tissue. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Acosta's invention by providing biphasic stimulation of 250 Hz to effectively stimulate nervous tissue.

### Response to Arguments

11. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 35-39 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection, necessitated by amendment.

#### Conclusion

12. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Application/Control Number: 10/627,231 Page 5

Art Unit: 3762

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Kahelin whose telephone number is (571) 272-8688. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela Sykes can be reached on (571) 272-4955. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

GEORGE R. EVANISKO PRIMARY EXAMINER

Nol 76 11/20/06